

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 600 PEACHTREET STREET, N.W. SUITE 5200 ATLANTA GA 30308-2216

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 1 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Thomas D. Petite Application No. 09/812,044 Filed: March 19, 2001

Filed: March 19, 2001 Attorney Docket No. 81607-1130 : DECISION ON PETITIONS : UNDER 37 CFR 1.183 AND

37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

This is a decision on the petition filed January 21, 2009, which is being treated (1) as a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 requesting waiver of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), and (2) as a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) requesting acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for benefit of an earlier filed application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.183 is **DISMISSED**.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is **GRANTED**.

# WITH RESPECT TO WAIVER OF RULE 1.78(a)(3)

Petitioner requests under 37 CFR 1.183 waiver of Rule 1.78(a)(3), for the submission of a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) for the acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(t). In this regard, petitioner states that this is an extraordinary situation where waiver of the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is justified since "While Patent Owner believes that the Patent's priority claim is correct and valid based on the Examiner's acceptance of the priority claim amendment and the USPTO's printing of the Patent, Patent Owner nonetheless request waiver of Rule 78(a)(3) should the USPTO deem it to apply."

## APPLICABLE RULE

37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i), (ii) and (iii) provides:

(2)(i) Except for a continued prosecution application filed under § 1.53(d), any nonprovisional application or international application designating the

United States of America claiming the benefit of one or more prior filed copending nonprovisional applications or international applications designating the United States of America must contain or be amended to contain a reference to each such prior application, identifying it by application number (consisting of the series code and serial number) or international application number and international filing date and indicating the relationship of the applications. Cross references to other related applications may be made when appropriate (see § 1.14).

- (ii) This reference must be submitted during the pendency of the later-filed application. If the later-filed application is an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), this reference must also be submitted within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the later-filed application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior-filed application. If the later-filed application is a nonprovisional application which entered the national stage from an international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, this reference must also be submitted within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in the later-filed international application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior-filed application. These time periods are not extendable. Except as provided in paragraph (a)(3) of this section, the failure to timely submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this section is considered a waiver of any benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) to such prior-filed application. The time periods in this paragraph do not apply if the later-filed application is:
- (A) An application for a design patent;
- (B) An application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before November 29, 2000; or
- (C) A nonprovisional application which entered the national stage after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371 from an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before November 29, 2000.
- (iii) If the later-filed application is a nonprovisional application, the reference required by this paragraph must be included in an application data sheet (§ 1.76), or the specification must contain or be amended to contain such reference in the first sentence following the title.
- (iv) The request for a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d) is the specific reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 to the prior-filed application. The identification of an application by application number under this section

is the identification of every application assigned that application number necessary for a specific reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 to every such application assigned that application number.

- (3) If the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2) of this section is presented in a nonprovisional application after the time period provided by paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section, the claim under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) for the benefit of a prior-filed copending nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America may be accepted if the reference identifying the prior-filed application by application number or international application number and international filing date was unintentionally delayed. A petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) for the benefit of a prior-filed application must be accompanied by:
- (i) The reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2) of this section to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (ii) The surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (iii) A statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

#### 37 CFR 1.183 states that:

In an extraordinary situation, when justice requires, any requirement of the regulations in this part which is not a requirement of the statutes may be suspended or waived by the Director or the Director's designee, sua sponte, or on petition of the interested party, subject to such other requirements as may be imposed. Any petition under this section must be accompanied by the petition fee set forth in § 1.17(h).

### **OPINION**

In order to grant any petition under 37 CFR 1.183, petitioner must show (1) that this is an extraordinary situation where (2) justice requires waiver of the rule. <u>In re Sivertz</u>, 227 U.S.P.Q. 255, 256 (Comm'r Pat. 1985). Petitioner has not shown that either condition exists in this case.

The circumstances of this case do not demonstrate an extraordinary situation, much less one where justice requires waiver of the rules. The failure to timely submit the claim for priority of the earlier application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(ii) was a circumstance entirely within petitioner's control, and could have been avoided by the exercise of reasonable care and diligence. Equitable powers should not be invoked to excuse the performance of a condition by a party that has not acted with reasonable, due care and diligence. <u>U.S. v. Lockheed Petroleum Services</u>, 709 F.2d 1472, 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Petitioner's contention that the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) should be waived since the examiner accepted the correction of the priority claim in an amendment and that the patent issued/published with the correct priority claim, is without merit.

In an Office action mailed on August 20, 2004, on page 2 first paragraph, examiner informed applicant that Application No. 09/790,150 didn't relate to the above application and that Application No. 09/704,150 did relate to the above application. The examiner informed applicant to make the appropriate correction in the specification if required and also **file the petition**. Even though the priority claim was recognized by the examiner in regards to an amendment submitted on November 3, 2004, applicant was not precluded from the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3). Again, applicant was informed in an Office action mailed on August 20, 2004, to file a petition.

It is well settled that a party's inadvertent failure to comply with the requirements of the rules or procedures before the USPTO is not deemed to be an extraordinary situation that would warrant waiver of the rules or procedures under 37 CFR 1.183. See Honigsbaum v. Lehman, 903 F. Supp. 8, 37 USPQ2d 1799 (D.D.C. 1995) (Commissioner did not abuse his discretion in refusing to waive requirements of 37 CFR 1.10(c) in order to grant filing date to patent application, where applicant failed to produce "Express Mail" customer receipt or any other evidence that application was actually deposited with USPS as "Express Mail"), aff'd without opinion, 95 F.3d 1166 (Fed. Cir.1996); Nitto Chemical Industry. Co., Ltd. v. Comer, 39 USPQ2d 1778, 1782 (D.D.C. 1994) (Commissioner's refusal to waive requirements of 37 CFR 1.10 in order to grant priority filing date to patent application not arbitrary and capricious, because failure to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.10 is an "avoidable" oversight that could have been prevented by the exercise of ordinary care or diligence, and thus not an extraordinary situation under 37 CFR 1.183); Gustafson v. Strange, 227 USPQ 174 (Comm'r Pats. 1985) (Counsel's unawareness of 37 CFR 1.8 not extraordinary situation warranting waiver of a rule). Rather, as petitioner failed to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i), (ii) and (iii), this is not an "extraordinary situation" where "justice requires" an extraordinary remedy.

### WITH RESPECT TO THE PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3):

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate

only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in  $\S 1.17(t)$ ; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

All of the above requirements having been satisfied, the late claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

As authorized, the \$1,620 fee for the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) has been charged to petitioner's Deposit Account No. 20-1507.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:

Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:

(571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

By internet:

**EFS-Web** 

www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs\_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center

at (866) 217-9197)

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208.

Karen Creasy

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions